

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 GEORGE AVALOS,  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 v.  
14 MARTHA FRANCO DE PIZANO, et al.  
15 Defendants.

Case No. 1:20-cv-01571-DAD-SAB

**ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO SHOW  
CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT  
ISSUE FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH  
COURT ORDERS**

**FIVE DAY DEADLINE**

17 George Avalos (“Plaintiff”) filed this action pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities  
18 Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181 et seq. on November 6, 2020. (ECF No. 1.) On January 24, 2021, a  
19 notice of settlement was filed and the parties were ordered to file dispositive documents within  
20 thirty days of January 28, 2021. (ECF Nos. 16, 17.) More than thirty days have passed and the  
21 parties have not filed dispositive documents.

22 Local Rule 110 provides that “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules  
23 or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all  
24 sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” The Court has the inherent power to  
25 control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate,  
26 including dismissal of the action. Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir.  
27 2000).

28 || //

1           Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS the parties TO SHOW CAUSE in writing  
2 within **five (5) days** of the date of entry of this order why dispositive documents have not yet  
3 been filed. **The parties are forewarned that the failure to show cause may result in the**  
4 **imposition of sanctions.**

5  
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: March 2, 2021



---

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28